## **OGC HAS**

Approved For Release 2001/08/31 FIVE 1370R000100090005-7で かつぶ 60 - スュラン

17 September 1959

MINORANDUM FOR: Deputy Chief, Fiscal Division

BUBJECT:

Transportation of Privately Owned Automobile

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

from to W	t the unintended delay This request deals wi facts recited indicate schington, D. C. in Aug	th the claim of that	STATINTL	
automobile and dry	ove it to Washington. Sashington was authorize	picked up his p	rivetely owned	

- 1. Is samual leave chargeable to the for the time he was absent from duty in connection with picking up the automobile?
  - 2. What is the basis for reimbursement of expenses incurred?
- 2. The essential problem underlying these questions is whether an employee is in a travel status by virtue of his being utilized to transport his own vehicle. In our opinion he is not. While on such an errand an employee cannot properly be considered as absent from his official post of duty for the purpose of attending to official business elsewhere. Although the transportation of the vehicle from a port to his post of duty may be properly authorized, and the expenses therefor paid by the Government, the use of the employee-owner of the automobile instead of a readily available commercial facility for such transportation must be considered as substantially for the personal convenience of the employee. We, therefore, hold in answer to your first question that absence from a post of duty under these circumstances is properly chargeable to annual leave. It follows, in reply to your second question, that the employee not being in a travel status cannot be reimbursed on the basis of per diem, mileage, etc., authorized for travel. Nevertheless, the authority to transport the vehicle at Government expense in our opinion provides a sound basis for reimbursing such an employee for the actual travel expenses incurred in transporting his sutemobile, including the cost of the deadhead trip by commercial carrier.

Approved For Release 2001/08/31: CIA-RDP80-01370R000100090005-7

3. It can be seen that there is a certain advantage to the Government in having the employee himself undertake the transportation of his automobile to or from a port. There may be side issues of customs, claims against carriers, etc., which if handled on the spot by the traveler himself could save the Government (the Agency) sonsiderable inconvenience. In view of this, we would concur in a submission to the Comptroller General which, if approved, would allow the Agency to institute a general program, explicitly set forth by regulation, permitting employees to transport their vehicles without charge to leave and on a reimbursement basis more favorable to the employee than that of actual expenses.

STATINTL

Office of Ceneral Counsel

STATINTL

Director of Logistics

Attn: